## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, et al.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Civil Action No. 98-CV-5591
V.	)	
ALBERTO R. GONZALES, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United	)	
States,	)	
Defendant	)	

## DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT

Defendant respectfully requests that the Court direct Plaintiffs not to use a demonstrative exhibit that they have prepared for use in their closing argument. In support of this motion, counsel for the Defendant states as follows:

- 1. On Friday, November 17, counsel for the Plaintiffs submitted a document to counsel for the Defendant captioned "Sexually Explicit Domestic Web Pages Not Blocked by AOL Filter." The document appears to attempt to calculate certain percentages from the database used by Paul Mewett and Philip Stark in the course of the study that they performed in this litigation.
  - 2. The percentages listed in this document are not contained in the record.
- 3. When questioned at trial regarding this issue, Dr. Stark explained that, in order to derive the calculations that Plaintiff now attempt to perform, it would be necessary for him to generate a new query from the database. Testimony of Philip B. Stark, Nov. 8, 2006, p. 157, lines 8-13.
- 4. Dr. Stark also explained at trial that, in his judgment as a statistician, the type of calculation that Plaintiffs have now attempted to perform would not be a meaningful measure of

the likelihood that children are exposed to adult material on the World Wide Web. Testimony of Philip B. Stark, Nov. 8, 2006, p. 177, line 13, through p. 178, line 17.

- 5. As explained in more detail in the accompanying Declaration of Philip B. Stark, the calculations contained in Plaintiffs' demonstrative exhibit are not meaningful estimates, and further suffer from a variety of technical defects.
- 6. Counsel for the Defendant has contacted counsel for Plaintiffs and has asked that they agree not to use the proffered demonstrative exhibit. After an initial exchange of correspondence via e-mail, counsel for Defendant reiterated his request. Counsel for Plaintiff has not responded to this request.
- 7. Because the Plaintiffs' proffered demonstrative exhibit does not fairly reflect the trial record, Plaintiffs should be prohibited from its use in their closing argument.

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court direct the Plaintiffs not to use their demonstrative exhibit captioned "Sexually Explicit Domestic Web Pages Not Blocked by AOL Filter" in their closing argument.

Respectfully submitted,

PETER D. KEISLER Assistant Attorney General

PATRICK L. MEEHAN United States Attorney RICHARD BERNSTEIN Assistant U.S. Attorney

/s/ Joel McElvain
THEODORE C. HIRT
Assistant Branch Director
RAPHAEL O. GOMEZ
Senior Trial Counsel

ERIC J. BEANE
ISAAC CAMPBELL
JOEL McELVAIN
KENNETH SEALLS
JAMES TODD
TAMARA ULRICH
Trial Attorneys
United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 514-2988

Date: November 20, 2006

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I caused the attached document to be filed via this Court's electronic filing system on November 20, 2006. The electronic filing of this document constituted service of this document on the following liaison counsel:

Aden J. Fine, Esquire American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004

/s/ Joel McElvain
JOEL McELVAIN